Government of Sindh

Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority

No. LC / SPPRA /2025-2026/ 1092 **Karachi dated** 29th **June , 2026**

The Secretary to Government of Sindh,
Works & Services Department,
KARACHI

Subject: **DECISION OF THE REVIEW COMMITTEE OF SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

The undersigned is directed to refer to the subject cited above and to enclose herewith a copy of the decision of Review Committee meeting held on 04.06.2026 against Review Appeal submitted by **M/s Agha Shoaib & Brothers** on NIT PPMS NIT / ID No. T01134-25-0004 dated 18.12.2025 for information.

(FARRUKH AZIZ)
Assistant Director (Legal – II)

A copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to :-

- The Members of the Review Committee (ALL)
- The Superintendent Engineer, Works & Services Department, Badin / Chairman Complaint Redressal Committee.
- The Executive Engineer, Highways Division, Badin
- **M/s Agha Shoaib & Brothers** (The Appellant)

Scanned with CamScanner

Government of Sindh

Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority

Decision of the Review Committee under Rule-32 of the SPP Rules, 2010 held on 04.06.2026

M/s Agha Shoaib & Brothers

(The Appellant)

Versus

The Executive Engineer, Highways Division, Badin

(The Procuring Agency)

The meeting commenced with the recitation from the Holy Quran. Thereafter, the Chair welcomed the participants and briefed the Committee on the matter.

1. INTRODUCTION:-

1.1 The Executive Engineer, Highways Division, Badin (hereinafter referred to as "the Procuring Agency") invited bids through NIT No. TC/G-55/1550/2025 dated 16.12.2025, published in newspapers and uploaded on the PPMS-SPPRA website vide NIT/ID No.T-01134-25-0004 dated 18.12.2025. Procurement was conducted under the Single Stage – Two Envelope Procedure. The technical and financial bids were opened on 06.01.2026 and 29.04.2026 respectively.

1.2 The Appellant participated in Works No. 17, 21, 40, 44 and 103 under the subject NIT. However, the Procuring Agency disqualified the Appellant during technical evaluation on the ground of non-submission of the affidavit on Judicial e-Stamp paper.

1.3 Aggrieved by the decision, the Appellant filed a complaint before the Complaint Redressal Committee (CRC) under Rule-31 of the SPP Rules, 2010 on 02.05.2026. The CRC dismissed the complaint. Subsequently, the Appellant filed a Review Appeal under Rule-32(1) of the SPP Rules, 2010 vide letter dated 18.05.2026.

Scanned with CamScanner

2. PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING:-

2.1 Mr. Shoaib Ahmed, Proprietor of M/s Agha Shoaib & Brothers, appeared before the Review Committee and submitted that the bid had been submitted with all required documents in accordance with the NIT.

2.2 The Appellant contended that the requisite Stamp Rs. 100/- had been deposited with the Procuring Agency along with bid documents and therefore disqualification on this ground was not justified.

2.3 Mr. Qazi Asim, Superintendent Engineer, and Mr. Saeed Ahmed Buledi, Executive Engineer, Works & Services Department, Badin, appeared before the Committee and submitted that procurement was conducted strictly in accordance with the SPP Rules, 2010 and evaluation criteria contained in the NIT and bidding documents.

2.4 The Procuring Agency further submitted that submission of an affidavit on Judicial e-Stamp paper was a mandatory requirement under the bidding documents; however, the Appellant did not furnish e-stamp affidavit and technical proposal not signed as mentioned in the NIT. Therefore, the bid was declared non-responsive under Rule-2(x) and evaluated in accordance with Rule-42(1) of the SPP Rules, 2010.

3. FINDINGS / OBSERVATIONS OF THE REVIEW COMMITTEE:-

3.1 The Committee examined the record and submissions made by both parties.

3.2 The procuring agency has a critically important function in making the process transparent, fair and efficient, ensuring equal treatment and opportunity for all bidders through strict adherence to the prescribed procedures and timelines. Acceptance of bid document submitted after the bid-opening stage would undermine these fundamental principles and compromise integrity of the procurement process.

3.3 The Committee referred to Rule-2(x) and Rule-42(1) of the SPP Rules, 2010 and also considered the relevant condition of the NIT requiring submission of affidavit on Judicial e-Stamp paper. The NIT operates as the initial contact platform through which the procuring agency communicates with the potential bidders. That bid shall be submitted on the date, place and time and in the manner specified in the tender notice. As per the SPP rules, 2010, any bid

Scanned with CamScanner

submitted in contravention of the terms and conditions set forth in the tender notice is liable to rejection.

3.4 The Committee further observed that to prevent paper and process related fraudulent practices and leakage of government revenues, Government of Sindh has a policy in 2023 for use of e-Stamp paper. Adhering to that, the NIT has included mandatory requirement of using e-stamp. Once such a condition was expressly stipulated in the NIT, all bidders were under an obligation to comply with the same. Consequently, non- submission of the prescribed Judicial e-Stamp affidavit constituted non-compliance with a mandatory tender condition and could not be accepted by the procuring agency.

4. **Decision**

4.1 In view of the foregoing facts, applicable provisions of the SPP Rules, 2010, and after due deliberation, the Review Committee unanimously decided to reject/dismiss the Review Appeal under Rule-32(7)(a) of the SPP Rules, 2010.

The meeting concluded with a vote of thanks to and from the Chair.

(Farman Ali Chandio)
Chief of the Section, P&D Department,
Government of Sind
(Member)

(Engr. Haji Parpio Sehito)
Independent Professional
(Member)

(Nazim F. Haji)
Member SPPRA Board
(Member)

(Abdul Sami Kehar)
Member SPPRA Board
(Member)

(Ghulam Qadir Khan Talpur)
Managing Director, SPPRA
(Chairman)

Scanned with CamScanner