



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY



NO.LC/SPPRA/CMS-3364/2022-23/0194

Karachi, dated the 22nd September, 2023

To,

The Executive Engineer,
Building Division, Works & Services
Department Ghotki.

Subject: DECISION OF THE REVIEW COMMITTEE OF SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

The undersigned is directed to refer to the subject cited above and to enclose herewith a copy of the decision of Review Committee meeting held on 20.07.2023 against Review Appeal submitted by M/s Fida Hussain Lakho on NIT No T00626-21-0002 for your information.

(ABDUL JABBAR SHAIKH)
LEGAL COORDIANTOR

A copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to:

1. The Secretary Works & Services Department, Government of Sindh, Karachi.
2. Assistant Director (I.T), SPPRA (with an advice to upload the decision on the Authority's website in terms of Rule-32(11) of the SPP Rules, 2010)
3. The Chairperson / Members of Review Committee (All)
4. M/s Fida Hussain Lakho, Al Ghaus Hotel Railway Station Road Ghotki, Mobile No 0300-7011173 (The Appellant)



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY
AUTHORITY



**Decision of the Review Committee Meeting of SPPRA under Rule-32 of the SPP
Rules, 2010 held on 20.07.2023**

M/s Fida Hussain Lakho The Appellant
V/S
XEN, Building Division Ghotki The Procuring Agency

1. Introduction:

1.1 The Executive Engineer, Highways Division Ghotki "The Procuring Agency" invited bids for procurement of various works vide NIT No. TC/G-55/151 of 2022 dated 17.03.2022 in Newspapers and also hoisted on SPPRA Website vide Serial No. T00626-21- 0002. Method of Procurement was Single Stage Two Envelope.

1.2 The appellant submitted a Review Appeal before the Review Committee on 05.07.2022 vide letter dated 04.07.2022.

2. Proceedings of the Meeting:

2.1 The Chair welcomed the participants. The Committee was informed by the staff that the appeal was listed to check the maintainability as it is not clear from the appeal that whether the appellant approached to CRC of the procuring agency or not. The members of Review Committee asked from the appellant as to whether he has withdrawn the bid security from the procuring agency or otherwise. The appellant replied that he had withdrawn his bid security from the procuring agency and showed the receipts to the committee members.

3. Observations of the Review Committee :


The Review Committee observed that the appellant had already withdrawn his Bid Security from the procuring agency. Therefore, his appeal cannot be considered in terms of Rule 32(1) of the SPP Rules, 2010 which is as under;

"A bidder not satisfied with the decision of the procuring agency's complaints redressal committee may lodge an appeal to the Review Committee within ten (10) days of announcement of the decision provided that **he has not withdrawn the bid security**, if any, deposited by him"

1/2

4. **Decision of the Review Committee**


The Review Committee, after due deliberation, **dismiss** the instant Review Appeal as the appellant had already withdrawn his Bid security from the procuring agency.



(Manzoor Ahmed Memon)
Member SPPRA Board
(Member)




(Syed Adill Gilani)
Member SPPRA Board
(Member)



(Engr. Syed Muhammad Shikaib)
Independent Professional
(Member)



(Khair Muhammad Kalwar)
Special Secretary
Planning & Development Department
(Member)



(Rubina Asif)
Managing Director, SPPRA
(Chairperson)