



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH  
SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY



NO.LC/SPPRA/CMS-3342/2022-23/0199

Karachi, dated the 22<sup>nd</sup> September, 2023

To,

The Executive Engineer,  
Buildings Division, Works & Services  
**Department Khairpur Mirs.**

**Subject: DECISION OF THE REVIEW COMMITTEE OF SINDH PUBLIC  
PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

The undersigned is directed to refer to the subject cited above and to enclose herewith a copy of the decision of Review Committee meeting held on 20.07.2023 against Review Appeal submitted by M/s Qadir Bux Abro on NIT No T06120-21-0009 for your information.

(ABDUL JABBAR SHAIKH)  
LEGAL COORDIANTOR

**A copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to:**

1. The Secretary Works & Services Department, Government of Sindh, Karachi.
2. Assistant Director (I.T), SPPRA (with an advice to upload the decision on the Authority's website in terms of Rule-32(11) of the SPP Rules, 2010)
3. The Chairperson / Members of Review Committee (All)
4. M/s Qadir Bux Abro Khairpur Mirs, Mobile No. 0300.3719550, (The Appellant)



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH  
SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY  
AUTHORITY



**Decision of the Review Committee Meeting of SPPRA under Rule-32 of the**

**SPP Rules, 2010 held on 20.07.2023**

M/S Qadir Bux Abro ..... The Appellant

V/S

The XEN, Building Works & Services Khairpur..... The Procuring Agency

**1. Introduction:**

- 1.1. The Executive Engineer, Building Division Works & Services Khairpur "The Procuring Agency" invited bids for procurement of various works vide NIT No. TC/G-395/dated 16.05.2022 in Newspapers and also hoisted on SPPRA Website vide Serial No. T06120-21- 0009. Method of Procurement was Single Stage Two Envelope.
- 1.2. The appellant submitted a complaint to the Compliant Redressal Committee on 15.6.2022.
- 1.3 The appellant submitted a Review Appeal before the Review Committee on 22.06.2022.

**2. Proceedings of the Meeting:**

- 2.1. The Chair welcomed the participants. The Committee was informed that the appeal was listed for hearing of the appeal and notice was sent to the appellant. The appellant failed to appear before the Review Committee, despite the service of notice. Furthermore, the committee was informed that appellant had withdrawn his bid security.


**3. Observations of the Review Committee:**

- 3.1 The Review Committee observed that the appellant had withdrawn Bid Security. Therefore, appeal cannot be considered by the Review Committee in terms of Rule 32(1) of the SPP Rules which is as under:-

- (1) A bidder not satisfied with decision of the procuring agency's complaints redressal committee may lodge an appeal to the Review Committee [within ten (10) days of announcement of the decision]' provided that he has not withdrawn the bid security, if any, deposited by him.


#### 4. Decision of the Review Committee

Given the proceedings findings/observations and after due deliberation, the Review Committee, in exercise of powers conferred upon it under Rule 32(7) of SPP Rules, dismiss the instant Review Appeal being not maintainable under the SPP Rules, as the appellant had withdrawn his Bid security.




---

(Manzoor Ahmed Memon)  
Member SPPRA Board  
(Member)




---

(Engr. Syed Muhammad Shikaib)  
Independent Professional  
(Member)




---

(Syed Adill Gilani)  
Member SPPRA Board  
(Member)



---

(Khair Muhammad Kalwar)  
Special Secretary  
Planning & Development Department  
(Member)



---

(Rubina Asif)  
Managing Director, SPPRA  
(Chairperson)