#### OFFICE OF THE

#### SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY CIRCLE SUKKUR

Ph: 071-9310768 Fax:071-9310767

No. BB-(i)/879/2020

Dated: 7 / 8 /2020

To

The Chief Engineer Highway Sukkur

Subject:

MINUTS OF THE MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE

HELD ON 05-08-2020

The Decision / Minutes of the meeting of complaint Redressal Committee on complaints made by M/S. Kashif Constructors Government Contractor / Firm against Executive Engineer Machinery Maintenance Division (Hyd) Digri @ Kotdiji is submitted herewith for favour of kind information.

QA/As...Above

#### SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY CIRCLE SUKKUR

Copy (alongwith copy of above minutes of the meeting) forwarded with compliments

- The Assistant Director (Legal-II) Government of Sindh, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority Karachi
- 2 The District Accounts Officer Sukkur
- The Executive Engineer Barrage Division Sukkur 3
- The Executive Engineer Machinery Maintenance Division (Hyd) Digri @ Kotdiji
- 5 M/S. Kashif Constructors Government Contractor Address Jillani Muhalla Khairpur Mir's Sindh.

Co. He deligar human galos of

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY CIRCLE

### Meeting of Complaint Redressal Committee over complaint made by

M/S. Kashif Construcctor's. Government Contractor.

## V/S. Executive Engineer Machinery Maintenance Division (Hyd) Digri @ Kotdiji Held on 05-08-2020

S.No	Name of Participant	Designation	Contact No:	Signature
1.	Syed Muzammil Muzaffar Musavi	Superintending Engineer Provincial Highway Circle Sukkur	03327893173	1-
2.	Da Mosmonofo Margnejo	Set Become in Diest Accounts Mi	0312-36 11585	20
3.	Amer By Mahar	SDO Sween Bange	0333-654	D
4.	RATIQUE Chaikh	. 95	837083MB	shing ,

# MINUTES OF THE COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE (CRC) MEETING HELD UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF SUPERINTENDING ENIGNEER PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY CIRCLE SUKKUR ON 05.08.2020 (LIST OF PARTICIPANTS ATTACHED)

Meeting of Complaint Redressal Committee (CRC) held on 5.8.2020, as per SPPRA Rule 31 to hear complaint made by M/S Kashif Constructors. The meeting was convened vide letter No.BB(i)/812/2020 dated 28.7.2020, The complainant could not attended the meeting though he has been requested vide this office letter mentioned above.

Back Ground:- The sealed bids were called through NIT No.TC/G-55

/211 of 2020 dated 12.5.2020 from the interested bidders for the works
mentioned in the same.

M/S Kashif Constructors filed complaint that his company offered bid for (work No.8) Improvement of Road from Nangreja to Naseer Fakir Jalalani Road Mile 0/0-9/4 and the bid allegedly offered by the complainant firm was 23.49% lowest, therefore, his company was entitled for the contract, but the Executive Engineer did not show his company in the Bid Evaluation report hoisted on SPPRA website.

The Executive Engineer in the comments has denied the allegations leveled against him. He further stated that that the complainant participated in bidding process of only one work bearing No.2. Finding him as lowest bidder said work has been allotted to him by the Procurement Committee. He further reported that the complainant has not dropped Tender for Work No.8 in the Drop Box participated in the bidding process of Work mentioned atS.No.8, therefore, question of offering lowest bid by the complainant does not arise. He further reported that whole the procurement proceedings have been made fairly, by the Procurement Committee and no any illegality or irregularity has been made in the bidding process. He submitted attendance sheet of bidders participated in the bidding process in support of his version.

Conclusion:- Complainant was send Notice for date of hearing of CRC i.e 5.8.2020, but inspite of that he has remained absent without any intimation, which shows

that he has lost his interest, hence the complaint on sole ground of absence without intimation is liable to be dismissed, but the participants unanimously decided to decide complaint on merits. The allegation of the complainant is that he being lowest bidder is entitled for the work, but the list hoisted at the Website does not show his name. No any illegality or irregularity in the bidding process has been pointed out by the complainant. The complaint does not show that the complainant has put signature over list of participants for work No.8. No personal grudge or any misunderstandings have been pointed out by the complainant against the procurement committee for not awarding him the work. It is admitted fact that the complainant being lowest bidder for the work at S.No.02, was allotted work by the authority, hence no mollified or ill will of the procurement committee appears. The attendance sheet of work No.8 does not show participation of the complainant for the work at S.No.8, hence question of filling lowest rates and placement of name of the complainant in the list of bidders does not arise.

Looking to the above facts and circumstances, the Complaint Redressal Committee is of the unanimous view that complainant failed to participate in the bidding process of work at S.No.8, , hence complainant has made this complaint with some ulterior motives. The complaint, therefore, does not merit any consideration; hence the CRC has unanimously decided that the complaint does not merit any consideration, which stands

dismissed. Bus non Tech Monter.

Distri<del>ct Accoun</del>ts Officer

Sukkur (Member CRC) Executive Engineer Barrage Division Sukkur

(Member CRC)

(Syed Muzamil Muzafar Musavi)

Superintending Engineer Provincial Highway Circle Sukkur

(Chairman CRC)