OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY DIVISION HYDERABAD

NO: TC/G-55/1538 of 2020 Hyderabad, Dated:- 18/06/2020.

To,

The Chairman Complaint Redressal Committee/
Project Manager,
Hyderabad-Mirpurkhas Dual Carriageway Project,
Hyderabad.

Subject: **MINUTES OF MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE OF PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION HYDERABAD HELD ON 11-06-2020 TO ADDRESS THE GRIEVANCES OF COMPLAINANTS.**

Reference: Your Office Letter No.F-24/HMDCP/Complaint Redressal Committee/ 1364, dated 17/06/2020.

In response to your office letter cited under reference, after review narration of "Facts & Background", "Proceedings", "Procurement Committee's Version", "Observation of CRC" and "Decision of CRC" in subject minutes of meeting contrary to the realty, I really astonished and compelled to submit my submissions in written to reveal the facts of all the proceedings.

The real facts in narration in replay to the Minutes of Meeting's Proceedings Para-wise is as under:

Facts & Backgrounds

I, in person brought all the required relevant record on 1st scheduled meeting on dated: 11-06-2020 fixed by your good honor, and shown to the participants. On realization by all the present contractors of their deficient documentation, which resulted their disqualification, non-responsive and failure in competitive bidding process. On the occasion, the Chairman of CRC also controlling officer of procuring agency (Provincial Highways Division Hyderabad) asked the Chairman Procurement Committee also Executive Engineer, Of the Division (Procuring agency) on request by complaining contractors to compromise and made commitment with them for next coming procurement to be relaxed and ease them through favoritism so they may acquire the work to be awarded. Therefore, your kind honor officially postponed such attentive meeting postponed fixed next date with showing reasons in contradiction to the above briefed facts "The Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Hyderabad/ Chairman Procurement Committee further requested to grant him few days to present the record before the complaint Redressal Committee and committed that he will provided the record by 15-06-2020."

Cont.. Page # 02

1837 29-06-2020

Post the CRC decision put up 22/06/20

Due to non-undertaking of any deceitful commitment by undersigned, your good honor again and again postponed the meeting with different reasons including reason of non-submission of record by the undersigned.

Proceeding of CRC.

During proceedings the role of members other than Chairman of CRC remained silent. On submission of required documents by the undersigned, showing deficient documentation of complaining contractors, the proceedings was nothing but dialogue of Chairman CRC with Chairman PC (undersigned) to compromise with complaining contractor for future commitment.

In 'CRC Proceedings' paragraph only repeated the complaints of all the complaining contractors as and they have explained in their complaint. Whereas, neither the version of procurement committee nor reasons of disqualification, non-responsive and / or failure of complaining contractor is mentioned.

Version of the CRC

The version of CRC regarding request by undersigned for postponement of meeting was not correct, because the required record was already brought and presented during first fixed meeting of CRC on dated 11-06-2020.

Observation of CRC

In light of observation of CRC, the contents of letter written by undersigned regarding 'the record to be required from contractors in support of their complaint' was actually the version of CRC during first meeting, held on 11-06-2020, when undersigned submitted technical documents having attached deficient documents of complainants. Therefore, my request was of the view of CRC not diversion of responsibility.

Decision of CRC

On review of above described facts & backgrounds, proceeding and incorrect version of CRC, it is not difficult to be gauged the intention and role of individuals in making such decision. Therefore, the required record is again submitted for ready reference of all the concerned.

Furthermore, it is submitted that all the procurement was proceeded under the umbrella of Procurement Committee, constituted by the Principal Accounts Officer of Administrative Department vide notification No. E&A (W&S)/3-9/91-2013 dated: 02-03-2020, comprising of odd Number three officers under chair of of BPS-18 officer and

Cont.. Page # 03

two officers of BPS-17, (Rule, 7 of S.P.P Rules), who all are written signed witness of all the proceedings, functions and disbursed their responsibilities in accordance with the Rule, 8 of SPP Rule.

In view of foregoing facts and grounds, it is requested to kindly review the decision of CRC in light of foregoing grounds and facts.

(Engr: Fazal Muhammad Mangi)
Executive Engineer,
Chairman Procurement Committee/
Executive Engineer,
Provincial Highways Division,
Hyderabad

Copy F,W,Cs to :

- ✓ *The Secretary to Government of Sindh, Works & Services Department, Karachi.*
- ✓ *The Chief Engineer, Highways Department, Hyderabad.*
- ✓ *The Managing Director, SPPRA, Karachi.*

Executive Engineer,
Provincial Highways Division,
Hyderabad