OFFICE OF THE
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES DEPARTMENT
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE

NO: S.E/W&S/B.B/ of 2022, , dated: - / /2022

MINUTES OF THE COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 07-06-2022 AT 12:00 PM IN THE OFFICE OF SUPERENTENDENT ENGINEER WORKS & SERVICES DEPARTMENT NAUSHAHRO FEROZE REGARDING BELOW MENTIONED PROCURING AGENCY FOR NOT ISSUING QUALIFICATION OR DIS-DUQALIFICATION LETTER AND NOT COMMUNICATING THE RESULT OF (TECHNICAL EVALUATION), NOT EVEN SHOWING THE NAME OF THE AGENCY IN BER, IN THE NIT NO TC/G-55/410 DATED 25-04-2022 FLOATED BY THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER BUILDINGS DIVISION NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

(Complainant)

M/S MUHIB ALI SADHAYO
GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR

Versus

Executive Engineer Buildings Division Naushahro Feroze

The meeting of Complaint Redressal Committee (under Rule-31 of SPPRA, Rules,2010) started with the name of Almighty Allah and the chair welcomed the participants, introduced the CRC committee and briefed/informed the participants regarding calling of the Important meeting as per Rule-31, of SPPRA.

CRC COMMITTEE CONSISTING OF FOLLOWING ATTENDED THE MEETING:

1. Mr. Ameer Bux Rahopoto (Chairman CRC)
   Superintending Engineer
   Works & Services Department
   Naushahro Feroze
2. Mr.Abdul Majeed Memon (Member CRC)
   Executive Engineer
   Education Works Division Naushahro Feroze
3. Mr.Amjad Hussain Mughal (Member CRC)
   District Accounts Officer
   Naushahro Feroze

THE COMPLAINAT SIDE FOLLOWING ATTENDED:

1. Mr. Muhib Ali Sadhayo,
   M/S Muhib Ali Sadhayo,
   (Owner/CEO/Representative)

FROM PROCURING AGENCY FOLOWING ATTENDED:

1. Syed Ali Shah
   Assistant Engineer,
   Public Health Sub-Division N.Feroze
2. Mr.Muhammad Luqman Channar
   Assistant Engineer,
   Buildings Sub-Division Kandiaro

pb/ Hosted on SPPRA Website

AD(C-I) 14/6

4528 13.06.2022

13/6

OMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE PROCEEDINGS.

The Chairman of the Complaint Redressal Committee invited/asked the complainant to present his case version before the CRC Committee.

Complainants Version before the CRC.

1. MIS-PROCURMENT OF THE NIT.
2. _____.

The Procuring Agency's Version.

1. THE PROCURMENT AGENCY DONE NIT AS PER RULES OF SPPRA.
2. _____.
3. _____.

Questions asked/raised by the CRC, the replies of the complainant and observations of the CRC.

Questions asked by the CRC	the replies of the complainant	observations of the CRC
1. LATE PROOVE THE MIS-PROCURMENT OF THE NIT.	UN-JUSTIFIED.	THE REPLY OF THE COMPLAIANANT IS UN-JUSTIFIED.

Decision of the Compalinat Redressal Committee.

In the light of above /due deliberations, the Complaint Redressal committee unanimously decides in the light of SPPRA Rule-31 that there is no violation of SPPRA Rules,2010 in terms of observations raised by the complainant M/S Muhib Ali Sadhayo, Government Contractor hence the complaint is unjustified, baseless and dismissed / rejected

Mr. Abdul Majeed Memon
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE
(Member)

Mr. Amjad Hussain Mughal
District Accounts Officer
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

Mr. Ameer Bux Rahpoto
Superintending Engineer
Works & Services Department
Naushahro Feroze
(Chairman)