

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER WORKS & SERVICES DEPARTMENT NAUSHAHRO FEROZE

NO: S.E/W&S/B.B/

of 2022, , dated: -

/2022

MINUTES OF THE COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 07-06-2022 AT 12:40 PM IN THE OFFICE OF SUPERENTENDENT ENGINEER WORKS & SERVICES DEPARTMENT NAUSHAHRO FEROZE REGARDING NON OPENING OF TENDERS / FRAUDULANCE IN BIDDING PROCESS IN THE NIT NO TC/G-55/403 DATED 25-04-2022 FLOATED BY THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

(Complainant)

M/S ABDUL RASHEED BHUTTO GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR

Versus

Executive Engineer Highway Division Naushahro Feroze

The meeting of Complaint Redressal Committee (under Rule-31 of SPPRA, Rules,2010) started with the name of Almighty Allah and the chair welcomed the participants, introduced the CRC committee and briefed/informed the participants regarding calling of the Important meeting as per Rule-31, of SPPRA.

CRC COMMITTEE CONSISTING OF FOLLOWING ATTENDED THE MEETING:

Mr. Ameer Bux Rahopoto
 Superintending Engineer
 Works & Services Department
 Naushahro Feroze

(Chairman CRC)

2. Mr.Abdul Majeed Memon Executive Engineer

(Member CRC)

Education Works Division Naushahro Feroze

 Mr.Amjad Hussain Mughal District Accounts Officer Naushahro Feroze (Member CRC)

THE COMPLAINAT SIDE FOLLOWING ATTENDED:

 Mr. Fareed Ahmed Bhutto, M/S Abdul Rasheed Bhutto, (Owner/CEO/Representative)

FROM PROCURING AGENCY FOLOWING ATTENDED:

- Syed Ali Shah
 Assistant Engineer,
 Public Health Sub-Division N.Feroze
- Mr.Khalid Rasheed Soomro Assistant Engineer, Highway Sub-Division Bhiria

spp R A te

COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE PROCEEDINGS.

The Chairman of the Complaint Redressal Committee invited/asked the complainant to present his case version before the CRC Committee.

Complainants Version before the CRC.

- 1. COMPLAINAT STATED THAT HIS NAME IS NOT MENTIONED IN BID EVALUATION REPORT (BER)
- 2. ______

The Procuring Agency's Version.

1. THE PROCURMENT COMMITTEE FINALIZED THE PROCURMENT PROCESS ACCORDING TO SPPRA RULES-2010 AMENDED 2019-20.

THE TENDERS SUBMITTED BY THE ABOVE MENTIONED CONTRACTOR, THROUGH TCS, THE RATES OFFERED BY THE CONTRACTOR ARE MORE HIGHER THAN THE CEILING OFFERED BY THE CHIEF ENGINEER.

MOREVER THE PROCURMENT COMMITTEE HOIESTED THE BID EVALUATION REPORT (BER) OF LOW RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTORS ACCORDING TO SPPRA RULES-2010.

THE CONTRACTOR MADE OVER WRITING ON THE RATES ON SCHEDULE-B BID SUBMITTED IS HIGHER THAN THE CEILING OFFERED BY THE CHIEF ENGINEER CEILING.

Questions asked/raised by the CRC, the replies of the complainant and observations of the CRC.

Questions asked by the CRC	the replies of the complainant	observations of the CRC
1. You had offer rates more than Chief Engineer Ceiling.	The contractor could not explained his ply. Therefore, un-justified.	The complainant could not justify his version and could not replied the questions raised by the CRC.
2. You had overright on the Tender form submitted by you.	The contractor could not explained his ply. Therefore, un-justified.	The contractor made overwrite on the Schedule-B and rate offered more than the Chief Engineer ceiling.

Decision of the Compalinat Redressal Committee.

In the light of above /due deliberations, the Complaint Redressal committee unanimously decided in the light of SPPRA Rule-31 that there is no violation of SPPRA Rules,2010 in terms of observations raised by the complainant M/S Abdul Rasheed Bhutto, Government Contractor hence the complaint is unjustified, baseless and dismissed / rejected

Mr. Abdul Majeed Memon Executive Engineer Education Works Division NAUSHAHRO FEROZE (Member) Mr. Amjad Hussain Mughal District Accounts Officer Naushaliro Feroze

Mr. Ameer Bux Rahpoto
Superintending Engineer
Works & Services Department
Naushahro Feroze
(Chairman)