



Phone # 022-9201941
E-mail se.ewc.hyderabad@gmail.com

NO.SE(EWCH)/B&A/ 1374

**OFFICE OF THE
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
EDUCATION WORKS CIRCLE HYDERABAD
@ Ground Floor Block-E Shahbaz Building**

Hyderabad Dated: - 24/5/2022.

To,

Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority,
Government of Sindh, Karachi

SUBJECT: - COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE.

In response to the complaints received by this office from M/S Muneer Ahmed Memon, for N.I.T No:XEN(EWD)/A.B/TC/104, dated 21.03.2022 of Education Works Division, Dadu, the meeting of Complaint Redressal Committee was held on 23-05-2022 @ 01:00 p.m in the office of undersigned.

The Minutes of Meeting/Decision of Complaint Redressal Committee are enclosed herewith for your information.

D.A./AS ABOVE.

(ENGR: SHAHNEELA NIZAM SHAIKH)
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER/
CHAIRPERSON
COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE
EDUCATION WORKS CIRCLE HYDERABAD

Copy forwarded for information to: -

1. The Chief Engineer, Education Works Hyderabad.
2. The Executive Engineer, Education Works Division, Dadu.
3. The Technical Officer (Professional nominated by the head of Procurement Agency).
4. The Division Accounts Officer, Education Works Division, Dadu.
5. M/S Muneer Ahmed Memon, House No: 208-B, Mistri Mohammad Ibrahim Street Dadu.
6. Master file.

D.A./AS ABOVE.

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER/
CHAIRPERSON
COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE
EDUCATION WORKS CIRCLE HYDERABAD

MAILED 26-05-2022

up for SPPRA
posting
Website
22/5

MINUTES OF MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE HELD AT THE OFFICE OF SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, EDUCATION WORKS CIRCLE, HYDERABAD ON 23-05-2022 @ 01.00 P.M REGARDING COMPLAINT AGAINST N.I.T NO.XEN(EWD)/ A.B/TC/104, DATED 21-03-2022 OF EDUCATION WORKS DIVISION, DADU.

1. The Complaint Redressal Committee Meeting started at 01:00 p.m.
Following participated the meeting.
- (i) Engr: Shahneela Nizam Shaikh,
Superintending Engineer,
Education Works Circle, Hyderabad. Chairperson.
- (ii) Mr. Mumtaz Hussain Qureshi,
Technical Officer,
(Professional nominated by the head of Procurement Agency) Member C.R.C
- (iii) Mr. Nazir Ahmed Khokhar,
Divisional Accounts Officer,
Education Works Division, Dadu
(Representative of Accountant General Sindh). Member C.R.C
- (iv) Mr. Nisar Ahmed Memon,
Executive Engineer,
Education Works Division, Dadu. XEN, EWD, Dadu
- (v) M/S Muneer Ahmed Memon, Complainant

2. The C.R.C meeting was conducted regarding complaint on N.I.T No.XEN(EWD)/A.B/TC/104, dated 21-03-2022 of Education Works Division, Dadu.

3. Complainant M/S Muneer Ahmed Memon present in C.R.C meeting stated that he downloaded tender documents and submitted same to Executive Engineer concerned through TCS wherein his offer for 2 works (at S.No:4&5) was lowest than other participants but his bid was not declared successful. He further claimed that the Executive Engineer, Education Works Division Dadu had promised to allot one work to him therefore he signed the attendance sheet.

4. The Chairperson then invited Executive Engineer, Education Works Division, Dadu to share his views.


The Executive Engineer, Education Works Division, Dadu submitted his point of view that M/S Muneer Ahmed Memon, did not participate in tendering process so how can he be awarded the work. He challenged that complainant did not at all submit any bid through TCS which he never received and complainant has just cooked a story with TCS receipt to pressurise and malign him and sabotage the tendering process of this important project of government which is scheduled to be handed over by June this year.


5. The complainant was asked to counter the view point of Executive Engineer, produce evidence regarding receipt of his bid complete in all respects to Executive Engineer. However the complainant said that he may take the oath on HOLY QURAN but did not submit any evidence.


6. The committee after hearing both the parties discussed the matter at length and reached the conclusion that: -

(i) Since the complainant did not submit any proof regarding receipt of his bid to Executive Engineer, mere producing TCS receipt is not sufficient evidence to prove that the complainant has actually submitted the bid and that too with required bid security etc. Hence the procuring agency can not be blamed to have ignored his bid, hence he could not be treated as “**bidder**” or “**intending bidder**” as per rule 2(i)(f) of SPP rules. His complaint against Education Works Division Dadu is therefore dismissed.

(ii) Procurement Agency is therefore allowed to continue procurement process as per rules.


(Nazir Ahmed Khokhar)
Divisional Account office
Education Works Division Dadu
(Representative of AG Sindh Karachi)
(Member)


(Mumtaz Hussain Qureshi)
Technical Officer
(Representative of Head of Procurement
Agency) (Member)


(ENGR: SHAHNEELA NIZAM SHAIKH)
Superintending Engineer
Education Works Circle Hyderabad
(Chairman Complaint Redressal Committee)