

## NO: SO (G) / L&F /3(243)/ 2021\_1359 GOVERNMENT OF SINDH

## GOVERNMENT OF SINDH LIVESTOCK AND FISHERIES DEPARTMENT

Karachi, dated: 11th January, 2021

The Managing Director, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Karachi.

- 2. Dr. Nazeer Hussain Kalhoro, Program Coordinator, Accelerated Action Plan (Sehtmand Program) Livestock Sector, Korangi, Karachi...
- 3. Syed Mehboob Alam Shah Bukhari, Govt. Contractor, Ward # 4, Shah Mubeen Mohalla Kheer Bazar, Thatta

SUBJECT:

COMPLAINT THE FOR **MEETING** THE MINUTES OF **TENDER** REGARDING **COMMITTEE** REDRESSAL ACCELERATED ACTION PLAN (LIVESTOCK SECTOR) IN THE OFFICE OF SECRETARY, LIVESTOCK & FISHERIES ON HELD SINDH **GOVERNMENT OF** DEPARTMENT, 7TH JANUARY, 2021.

I am directed to refer to the subject noted above, and to forward herewith a copy of the Minutes of the Redressal Committee Meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup> January, 2021 in the Committee Room of this department under the Chairmanship of Secretary, Livestock & Fisheries Department, Government of Sindh duly signed by the Secretary, Livestock & Fisheries Department for information and further necessary action.

Encl: As above

(MUHAMMAD SADIQ KHASKHELI) SECTION OFFICER (GENERAL)

CC to:

1. PS to Secretary, Livestock and Fisheries Department, Govt. of Sindh, Karachi.

2. Master File.

Molorix. 13



## MINUTES OF THE MEETING FOR THE COMPLAINT REDRESSAL Committee IN THE OFFICE OF SECRETARY LIVEISTOCK AND FISHRIES DEPARTMENT GOVERNMENT OF SINDH DATED 7-01-2021 AT 2:30 pm.

A meeting of Complaint Redressal Committee of Livestock and Fisheries Department was held on 07-01-2021 under the Chairmanship of Secretary Livestock and Fisheries Department to address the grievances of M/S MEHBOOB ALAM SHAH REGARDING TENDER OF AAP (LIVESTOCK SECTOR) DURIG THE YEAR 2020-21. The meeting was started with the recitation of Holy Quran. The Chair then called the Representative of M/S Mehboob Alam Shah who explained following points which were also highlighted in this complaint.

- The tender documents along with all necessary supporting documents and requirements had been submitted to the concerned office Provincial Program Coordinator, Accelerated Action Plan for reduction of stunting and malnutrition, Sehtmand Sindh Program (Livestock Sector) Dated: 12.12-2020 through courier service (TCS) same was delivered before deadline of submission.
- That the Provincial Program Coordinator, Accelerated Action Plan for reduction of stunting and malnutrition, Sehtmand Sindh Program (Livestock Sector) got uploaded BER on official website of SPPRA/PPMS on 24.12.2020, and showing in minutes of meeting complainant Technical Proposal Rejected without any apparent reason.
- Procuring agency Provincial Program Coordinator, Accelerated Action Plan for reduction
  of stunting and malnutrition, Sehtmand Sindh Program (Livestock Sector) was award
  these works to his favorite person and do not wants to make open competition in the
  terms of rule -15(1) of SPPRA 2010 (amended 2019).
- To direct procuring agency to conduct open bid / competition by allowing complainant and all other qualified bidders, who has submitted the bid papers within time.

The authorized representative was informed that the complaint was received after due date of 03 days, however was considered on the administrative grounds.

- 2. On the observations of complainant, the Chair directed to Chairman Procurement Committee to respond the points raised by the Complainant before the CRC and in presence of Complainant. On that, the Chairman Procurement Committee denied all the allegations rose by the Complainant and submitted as under:
- 3. M/s Mehboob Alam Shah did not purchase the tender documents nor submitted the tender fee i-e Rs. 1.000 for each/any category in any of form mentioned in SPPRA Rules but sent their proposal through mail (TCS) vide tracking ID No. 2066876815, which was received on dated: 14.12.2020.

As per SPPRA guideline regarding the proposal submitted via mail mentioned in SPPRA Policy letter vide No. DIR(A&F)/SPPRA/LETTERS/2018-19/2277 dated: 19.02.2019 vide clause3 Rule 24 Submission of Bids which clarify that, "The bidders may submit bids on the bidding documents issued by the procuring agency or downloaded from the authority website along with tender fee if any by mail or by hand". The authorized representative of complainant could only show Bank evidence that they had prepared Bank draft of Rs 1000 tender fee but was not found in the envelop or communicated separately.

- 5. The proposal of Ms Mehboob Alam Shah did not contain any relevant information of tender nor fall under any category of tender as mentioned in the NIT and also firm did not mention any interest in any of tender category by letter or any document of relevance but only sent profile of their company attached with only all irrelevant experience i.e. Construction of roads and civil works, while the tenders were invited for procurement of goods.
  - 6. Therefore, the Committee decided to reject the proposal of Ms Mehboob Alam Shah on the grounds as mentioned earlier and no further evaluation was carried for that proposal, since it was lacking all pre-requisites for technical proposal evaluation.
    - Further, the tender was advertised as per SPPRA Rules and opened for all national manufacturers, importers, sole agents and general suppliers and wherein twenty eights (28) national firms participated in the tender, a healthy competition between the firms have been seen and no favoritism occurred. The tender were opened in presence of representatives of interested bidders and same were evaluated as per set criteria in the bidding documents by Procurement Committee in consideration of relevant SPPRA Rules. No representative of any firm had objection on the conduct and process of tender. The firms who have fulfilled mandatory requirement of tender were declared as Qualified.
      - 8. In addition to above, CRC after examining the relevant record of the complainant's bid, also observed the following mandatory pre-requisites as mentioned in the bidding document which were also not provided in the bid, mentioned as under:

Mandatory Bidders Qualification Criteria	
Item specification meets the tender requirements	No specifications of any of tender items e.g. technical brochures, names, any written proof of neither what they are offering nor any specification or mention about any item or category of tender items.
Proof of relevant Experience	Not provided for any of tender category or item but only irrelevant work orders of different construction works were submitted.
Income Tax Certificate (NTN), valid GST Registration Certificate	Attached but does not allow the bidder to participate in supplies of goods. NTN was only issued for works/services by the relevant agency. However, the current tender is only for supply of goods.
Annual Sales Turn over	Attached (but irrelevant)
Letter of authorization	Not Provided
Earnest money	Attached but not in the form as per bidding document (but with cheque showing an amount of 6,90,000 and attached in technical bid, violating the secrecy of tender)
Undertaking for not have been barred / black listed	Not Provided in the bid
Affidavit to the effect that all documents / particulars / information given with technical proposal are true.	Not Provided in the bid
Affidavit to the effect that the firm is not presently involved nor has been in the past in litigation with its employers	Attached (but not acceptable since it is five years old, stamp paper date is 02.09.2015
Client & contact details list of minimum 03 Institutes (Form 2 of tender document)	Not Provided
Tender Document duly signed and stamped each page by the bidder	Not Signed & stamped, nor bidding document attached in the bid.

After going through the relevant record and hearing the both parties, CRC unanimously 9. decided that there is no violation of SPPRA Rules 2010 (Amended 2020) in terms of observations raised by the bidder, hence, turned the request of complainant i.e., M/S Mehboob Alam Shah being untenable

Procurement Specialist

Secretary

Livestock and Fisheries/Chairman CRC