MINUTES OF MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE HELD ON 16.04.2019 UNDER THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF CHIEF, ENGINEER, PHED, SUKKUR CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE.

Subject:- Reasons for disqualification of Technical Proposals of two participants, i.e.

- 1. M/S. Haji Shoukat Ali Bangalzai.
- Deedar Ali Brohi, Government Contractor.

Following attended the meeting

(The Attendance Sheet is attached separately)

 Mr. Shamsuddin Shaikh Chief Engineer, PHED Sukkur (Chairman)

(Member)

- Mr. Muhammad Hassan Solangi Executive Engineer, PHE Div: Jacobabad
- Mr. Ali Muhammad Mangnejo
 District Accounts Officer Sukkur (Member)

At the outset of meeting, chair welcomed all participants. The respondent in written reply stated that:-

- 1. The Bid for the works 1. "Rural Water Supply Scheme Ali Pur, Taluka and District Jacobabad" & 2. "Rural Water Supply Scheme Rehan Khan Jamali, Taluka Garhikhairo, District Jacobabad" were invited by the Executive Engineer, PHED, Jacobabad, from the Contractors/ firms registered with Pakistan Engineering Council (PEC) having specialized Code & Financial Category as per guidelines issued by SPPRA, with opening date of bids i.e. 12/03/2019 on single stage, two envelope process.
- 2. The NIT was well advertised in leading Newspapers and hoisted on SPPRA's Website.
- 3. The Bids were invited on open competitive bidding process of Procurement.
- 4. The Standard Bidding Documents were issued to 4 No. interested Firms over the work "Rural Water Supply Scheme Ali Pur, Taluka and District Jacobabad" and 5 No interested Firms over the work "Rural Water Supply Scheme Rehan Khan Jamali, Taluka Garhikhairo, District Jacobabad".
- 5. The sealed envelopes, containing Technical and Financial proposals, were received in the Tender Box and found 2 Firms contesting over work "Rural Water Supply Scheme Ali Pur, Taluka and District Jacobabad" and 2 Firms over work "Rural Water Supply Scheme Rehan Khan Jamali, Taluka Garhikhairo, District Jacobabad".
- 6. The technical proposals were opened on dated 12/03/2019.
- 7. The technical proposals were vetted, wherein 01 out of 02 contesting Firms qualified technically, and other one Ms/. Haji Shoukat Ali Bangalzai remained disqualified for the work of "Rural Water Supply Scheme Ali Pur, Taluka and District Jacobabad", and 1 Firm out of 2 contesting Firms qualified and one firm namely peedar Ali Brohi remained

- disqualified for the work "Rural Water Supply Scheme Rehan Khan Jamali, Taluka Garhikhairo, District Jacobabad".
- Complaint Redressal Committee notified by the Secretary, Public Health Engineer & Rural Development Department, Karachi vide No.SO(T)/PHE/SPPRA Rules 2010, dated 21/04/2018 called the applicants vide letter No. GM/PHED/1010 dated 12-04-2019 who appeared before the Committee on dated 16/04/2019 at 12.00 Noon.

The Complaint Redressal Committee reviewed the applications in presence of Applicants/complainant and Procurement Committee.

1. M/S Haji Shoukat Ali Bangalzai

M/s. Haji Shoukat Ali Bangazai Participated in work Rural Water Supply Scheme Ali Pur Taluka and District Jacobabad, confirmed that they make the application. The Chair asked from him that if he has any other observation except those mentioned in application might also state. He confined himself on the observations mentioned in the application. His application was considered along with the replies of Procurement Committee as under;

S.No.	Complaint	Reply
1	That, I am qualified government Contractor having license of Pakistan Constructor/ Operator (under the byelaws of Pakistan Engineering Council 1987) issued by Pakistan Engineering Council, Islamabad.	No Comments.
2	That through Tender Notice bearing No.TC/NIT/152, dated 19/02/2019, the Public Health Engineering Division, Jacobabad inviting the sealed bids for 14 nos. different work for interested contractor/ firms on standard bidding documents under SPPRA Rule 2010.	Agreed.
3	It is requested that I was a participant in the above mentioned NIT wherein I have been declared unqualified in the first round of opening of technical bid. I have been disqualified without any reasons and also favoured to favourites contractors on quote premium from my quoted rate, which is unjustice with me.	following reasons. a. Bank statement produced was upto 30.06.2018 which is not as per specific requirement of NIT.

Decision: After detailed deliberations, the Complaint Redressal Committee (CRC) regretted and rejected the application on the grounds mentioned in the above replies/ reasons. Resultantly the Firm secured less score then the minimum qualifying score of 70% and remained disqualified.

Deedar Ali Brohi

Mr. Deedar Ali Brohi, the representative of "Deedar Ali Brohi", participated / appeared before the Committee for the work "Rehabilitation of Rural Water Supply Scheme Rehan Khan Jamali, Taluka Garhikhairo, District Jacobabad". He confirmed that they make the application. The Chair asked from him that if he has any other observation except those mentioned in application might also state. He confined himself on the observations mentioned in the application. His application was considered along with the replies of Procurement Committee as under

That, I am qualified Government Contractor having license of Pakistan Constructor/ Operator (under the bye-laws of Pakistan Engineering Council 1987) issued by Pakistan Engineering Council, Islamabad.	No Comments.
That through Tender Notice bearing No.TC/152, dated 19/02/2019, the Public Health Engineering Division, Jacobabad inviting the sealed bids for 2 nos. different work for interested contractor/ firms on standard bidding documents under SPPRA Rule 2010.	Agreed.
It is requested that I was a participant in the above mentioned NIT wherein I have been declared unqualified in the first round of opening of technical bid on the ground that I had failed to produce the work orders in the relevant department and completion certificates of like nature works and previously in the like natured NIT No.TC/G-148/1254, dated 14/11/2018. I was declared successful by the same Executive Engineer two months prior to this present disqualification whereas the terms & conditions remained same. It is humbly submitted that the present disqualification in the above mentioned NIT.	He did not produce the evidence for the works of same nature carriedout by him. Nor he produced completion reports. Hence did not secure qualifying score and was disqualified.

Decision. After detailed deliberations, the forum regretted and rejected his application on the ground that the firm failed to produce the evidence of having experience of works of same nature. Being & non Tees, Merson

Hence remained disqualified technically.

(MUHAMMAD HASSAN SOLANGI)

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER / MEMBER, COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEALTH ENGG:DIVISION. **JACOBABAD**

(ALI MUHAMMAD MANGNEJO)

MEMBER.

REPRESENTATIVE OF DISTRICT ACCOUNTS OFFICE SUKKUR

(SHAMSUDDIN SHA

CHIEF ENGINEER / CHAIRMAN. COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE PUBLIC HEALTH ENGG: DEPARTMENT, GOVT: OF SINDH SUKKUR